

### REMARKS

The present remarks are in response to the Office Action dated March 22, 2007.

Claims 78-82, 92-110, 117-137, 160, and 162 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-68 of U.S. Patent No. 5,949,492, now reissued as Reissue Patent No. RE38,600. A Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed herewith.

Claims 78-82, 92-110, 117-137, 160, and 162 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-68 of U.S. Patent No. 6,253,069. A Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed herewith.

Claims 78-82, 92-110, 117-137, 160, and 162 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-68 of U.S. Patent No. 5,703,795. A Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed herewith.

In view of the above remarks, reconsideration of the subject application and its allowance are kindly requested. If questions remain regarding the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (206) 757-8029.

Respectfully submitted,

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP

/Michael J. Donohue, Reg. #35859/

---

Michael J. Donohue

MJD:gatc

1201 Third Avenue  
Suite 2200  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Phone: (206) 757-8029  
Fax: (206) 757-7029

2055287\_1.DOC